Appl. No. 10/764,746 Response dated January 12, 2005 Reply to Office Action of December 13, 2004

Attorney Docket No. 87801-9004-01

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Examiner has indicated that the application encompasses two groups of inventions,

namely Group I (Claims 1-24) drawn to a latch assembly and pawl and Group II (Claims 25-36)

drawn to a latch assembly, ratchet and plural pivot point control element. Applicants elect to

prosecute the claims of Group 1, Claims 1-24, without traverse.

As requested by the Examiner on page 2 of the Office action, Claims 1-24 are generally

drawn to the embodiments shown and described with respect to Figures 17-34. However, the

scope of Claims 1-24 is not limited to the specific embodiments shown in Figures 17-34.

As also requested by the Examiner on page 2 of the Office action, Applicants have

amended Claim 1 to clarify that a single control element is being positively claimed. Various

dependent claims are drawn to plural control elements. Applicants have not amended Claim 25

as requested by the Examiner, because Claims 25-36 have been withdrawn from consideration.

Applicants respectfully request consideration of Claims 1-24 on the merits.

Respectfully submitted,

Laye Syn Dayluty Raye L. Daugherty

Reg. No. 47,933

Docket No. 087801-9004-01

Michael Best & Friedrich LLP

100 East Wisconsin Avenue

Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202-4108

(414) 271-6560

-10-